Appendix 1:

Table 1 – Key Controls Report 2022/23 - Progress against outstanding internal audit recommendations:

No	Priority score	Issue	Recommendation	Agreed management action	Agreed management timescale	Est. Progress	Progress at 12 April 2023
1	2	New Enrolments	The Fund is having difficulty onboarding the remaining employers as they were unable and or unwilling to provide the data submissions in the required format to be uploaded into I-Connect. This has a negative effect on the Fund to process administrative duties and there is a greater risk of things being processed incorrectly. To encourage employers' administrative charges, need to be issued to cover the additional time and an employer score card finalised.	We will finalise the employer score cards to help identify employers which require additional administrative time and implement reasonable charges to address this. We will continue to encourage employers to join i-Connect, prioritising the largest remaining employers.	30 September 2023	50%	 Scorecard Phase 1 of the scorecard to be published to employers in April. Officers to clarify data load requirements concerning timely contribution submissions. Administrative charging The right behaviours to be encouraged first, with the scorecard highlighting to key employer contacts any i-Connect failures and then escalating any issues with the contact through direct meetings. Charging to be considered a last resort. Consideration of the trigger points and arrangements for additional administrative charging to be determined, to avoid being contested. It is noted that this should form part of the monthly processing cycle. Until data validations and clear processing instructions are established further onboarding to i-Connect has been suspended. No target date set by officers, as process needs to be correct. Note: Evolve project responsible for Oracle migration.
2	2	Overpayments	The review highlighted member overpayments since 2016 amounting to £153,509. Since 2021 £24,002 has been written off. We were informed that anything which is below £250 is automatically written off however, the Fund was not following the write off process for values above this amount. As mentioned in finding J below, there are no KPIs for chasing aged debt and as	Outstanding overpayments to be reviewed and chased on a regular basis. The monitoring of this will form part of the KPI (Action J) below. We will ensure the aged debt is reviewed on a regular basis to	30 April 2023	50%	 Outstanding overpayments The view is that cases have been on "reply due" and have therefore not been chased historically. Officers now ensure these cases are followed up on receipt of the monthly aged debt report. Inclusion, on the KPI report is impacted by the cases being on "reply due". Aged debt – write offs A monthly report of aged debt is issued by the Accounts team to the Member Services team. Cross referenced cases are reviewed to

3	2	Lumpsum	such it is not monitored in the management report Deaths:	determine if anything should be written off. This will follow the write off process contained in the overpayment's procedure We will finalise the	30 April 2023	70%	established whether the debt should be written off. The write off process 3) The Scheme of sub-delegation & Officer Approval policy have both been redrafted. Cascading down from the Officer approval policy is the write off of overpayments on death procedure which needs to be reviewed. Death casework
		Payments	Cases closed without final letters being sent to the beneficiary or the estate. The Fund had identified this as a reoccurring issue during our audit and as such were determining if a quarterly report could be run to identify any anomalies. Transfers out: Lump sum payments made were signed off after the SLA deadline. This payment exceeded 100k. The Member Services Team were not aware that the Head of the Wiltshire Pension Fund has authority to sign off payments above this value and should be contacted if another staff member with authority is not available.	report to help identify death cases which have been closed without final letters being sent. We will ensure letters are sent for any cases identified and run the report to identify anomalies on a regular basis. We will ensure that all team members are aware of who has authority to sign off lump sum payments and to what level.	Revised date 31 July 2023		 A report has been prepared by the Systems team. Member services are also finalising the letter. Transfer out – Sign offs The implementation of the "Immediate payments" system will address any issues as it has segregation of duties built into it. Replacement Officers to be added to the Officer Approval policy authorisation list. JD to liaise with Andy Brown in relation to authorisation limits. £250k was suggested. A review and update of all related procedures will need to take place on introduction of the immediate payments system. See Table 2 – Outstanding SWAP actions March 2022 - Officer to liaise with ICT concerning the setting in place of Paygate software. This action can then be closed.
4	2	Transfers into the Fund	We were advised that any cases that are not allocated within 20 days of receipt of the money fall outside of the Fund's SLA deadline and as such should be flagged as an issue. We reviewed the aging analysis for unallocated transfers in and noted there were 153 cases which date back to 2018. This has not been flagged in the weekly KPI and performance reports which go to the Management team as an issue. There is therefore a risk of this going unresolved.	We will ensure Altair is updated with any historical missing liabilities. Any delays will be accurately highlighted in the weekly KPI report. This action is also reflected in the Finding J below regarding KPIs.	31 December 2023	80%	 Updating historical transfer-in liabilities on Altair 1) Member Services receive a monthly report from the Accounts team. The set-up of IWAS was also considered appropriate. Embedding the existing process of cross referencing the Accounts report with IWAS was considered the best way forward to highlight the processing TV-in to the Fund cases on a timely basis (SLA 20 days). The outstanding value unallocated in January was £880k. Inclusion in the weekly KPI report 2) A separate workflow in the KPI report was considered as overcomplicating the process.

5	3	Amendments	Nomination change:	To remind staff of the	30 April 2023	60%	Staff reminder
	5	7 inchancento	The Fund had not saved evidence in Altair	importance of saving	Revised date	00/0	1) It was confirmed that staff had been reminded
			to support the reason for the nomination	evidence to support any	30 June 2023		to ensure evidence of a change is recorded
			change.	changes made in Altair.	50 June 2025		and that changes cannot be made without
			Address change:				evidence.
			Correspondence addresses had not been	To remind staff of the			2) A QA/checking review to be considered.
			updated in Altair; the Fund had	processes which should			Sample reviews to be replaced with a more
			overwritten the address on a	be followed when			comprehensive peer review arrangement.
			correspondence letter only.	making address changes			Address & nomination changes
			It was noted that the Fund had been	and ensure this is			3) The Fund's current processes are designed to
			trying to trace a member address since	monitored as part of the			encourage members to either complete a
			2020 through a tracing agency. Whilst	Quality Assurance			form or go on-line via MSS. The acts as the
			matches had been received from Target,	(Action K) below.			evidence gathering.
			a workflow had not been set up to	. ,			Tracing Agency casework
			schedule a full search				4) The Operation Manager to liaise with the
							Systems Manager and his team concerning
							quality checking arrangements. Heywood's
							has been appointed as the new tracing Agent;
							however contractual terms need to be
							concluded. As new Agent workflows will
							automatically set up to monitor activity. It was
							noted that this work will ultimately be passed
							to the new payroll manager.
6	1	Aggregation	Aggregations Historical Backlog:	The identified 500	30	40%	Aggregations Historical Backlog
		processing	Since our last audit the Fund has	aggregation cases are	September		1) The number of outsourced aggregations can
		and backlog	outsourced 3500 cases to work through	analysed and workflows	2023		be quantified; however, aggregation type can
			over the next 11 months. However,	updated to ensure a			remain difficult to define. At present, 2,000
			during our review 500 additional cases	complete picture is			members (involving multiple records) have
			were identified. We were advised that	reported in the weekly			been referred to Hymans. Hymans have
			these had not been picked up as a	KPI reports. A plan will			currently processed 900 cases and checked
			workflow status had not been set against	be developed to ensure			450 of them.
			them.	timely completion of			2) Officers monitor Hymans KPI reports and on
			Aggregations Ongoing Backlog:	these tasks and			issues. The report/log includes a comment
			We reviewed the process to manage	progress updates			section.
			ongoing aggregations and if they are	reported to the			Additional pockets of work
			being processed in a timely manner. The	Committee regularly.			3) Reporting of Altair has identified additional
			Employer Services Team did not have a				areas of work, hampering the accurate
			robust workflow allocation process to	Determine if there are			analysis of cases. Officers continue to assess
			manage this work. Furthermore, no	any other historical			these additional areas and allocating
			targets have been set for processing the	aggregations which			identified work as required.
			work and the manager believed that the	have not been			Aggregations Ongoing Backlog
			team saw the importance of it.	outsourced.			

				Implement a process to ensure future aggregations are processed in a timely manner, defining SLAs for their completion. This action also forms part of the Workflow (Action I). Regularly monitor for adherence and include in the weekly KPI report. This action is also reflected in the Finding J regarding KPIs. Remind staff of the importance of completing the aggregations tasks will under performance addressed.			 4) Officers continue to progress the management of the in-house aggregation backlog. 5) Staff engagement concerning aggregation completion currently involves a review of Employer Services processes. This includes the verification stages of data received via i- Connect and what data can be confirmed as correct and signed off on at each stage. KPI Improvement Plan 6) A matrix of work for inclusion the business plan is ongoing. The matrix is designed to operate over a 2-year period and is split into a strategy for addressing the backlog and then ensuring KPI's are maintained. The Improvement Plan is also subject to a number of dependencies, which have been built into it. 7) Officers to upgrade the KPI reporting to split outsourced and in-house aggregations. See Table 2 – Outstanding SWAP actions March 2022 – Operational backlog cases number still to be confirmed. This action can then be closed.
7	2	Status 2 Members	A backlog of 4277 Status 2 cases currently exists. However, comparison against the KPIs reports identified only 3501 cases. We were advised that the figures differed as the KPI report does not include Status 2 cases where a workflow has not been set up or assigned a 'Status 2 Chase' workflow. The Employer Services Team does not have a robust workflow allocation process to manage this work and no targets have been set. Furthermore, a clear picture of the types of unprocessed leavers is not present. Forecasting clearance of outstanding work is therefore difficult.	The KPI report will be amended to capture outstanding Status 2 cases & form part of the KPI action in Action J. To ensure Status 2 cases are processed in a timely manner against defined SLAs is implemented. This will form part of the Workflow (Action I). To monitor performance and address underperformance.	30 September 2023 Revised date 31 March 2024	50%	 Status 2's The analysis of Status 2 types initially involves barriers to completion. These include ceased employers or employers who are unable or unwilling to provide the required data & missing leaver forms. To progress the backlog a framework of record reconstruction will need to take place and resourcing appointed to complete the reconstruction. The mini project is proposed to address the backlog and a service improvement team created. In addition to inclusion in the KPI Improvement Plan the actioning of Status 2's will also be included as a mini project within the business plan. Progress reporting monitoring of output and productivity will form part of that plan.

8	3	Retired members (Over 75's)	All retired members had been contacted; however, a report is to be written to enable bulk letters to be sent out to members on a regular basis. In the absence of this, each retired member has to be contacted individually. This was seen as inefficient.	The leaver backlog is fully analysed as a priority, and a plan to clear cases not outsourced. Finalise a report to enable letters to be sent to retired members in bulk	31 March 2023	100% COMPLETED	 3) The Operations Manager to prepare a report reconciling the accurate number of Status 2's cases. Retired members report Systems has drafted a report to enable bulk letters to be issued regularly. In addition, its intended that birthday emails and correspondence will be issued to members as a reminder, as they approach 75. Officers are currently managing the bulk processing of letters, however in the longer term this task may be moved to Employer Services.
9	2	Workflow Management	 Member Services Team: IWAS helps the Member Services Team to distribute tasks by a pre-defined priority of high to medium. High tasks first followed by medium tasks once all high tasks have been completed. Medium tasks were now falling behind the SLA deadlines on a regular basis, noting that: <i>Retirements</i> – 20% were not sent within the SLA deadline. <i>Transfers out</i> – Circa 50% were not sent out within the SLA deadline. <i>Employer Services Team:</i> IWAS has not been implemented in the Employer Services Team, although this is planned. As a result, SLA adherence has not occurred namely: <i>Name change</i> – Circa 40% of name changes. <i>Address change</i> – 10% of address changes. Findings in the Aggregations and Status 2 sections also highlighted this issue. 	To review how medium tasks are managed and ascertain if any of these should be re prioritised. This will consider if the backlog of tasks is impacting compliance with the SLA deadlines. To introduce a process to manage overpayments (see Finding B above). To implement a robust workflow system to manage the tasks completed by the Employer Services Team	30 June 2023	60%	 Review IWAS prioritisations IWAS is considered to be operating the right order of prioritisation. Although the IWAS tool identifies work priorities, as required, output has not improved. Officers are considering the root causes relating to this. Implement IWAS into the Employer Services Team At present a strategy to manage Employer Services work is still in progress, however due to the nature of Employers Services work IWAS is now not considered the appropriate tool. On appointment of a Work Management Officer the intention will be to prioritise the cyclical work first and then consider the non-standard tasks within the team.

4.2				T	20.4 1.0000		
10	2	KPIs, Reports	Inaccuracy of KPIs	To review the KPIs and	30 April 2023	50%	Inaccuracy of KPIs
		& Monitoring	The aggregations KPIs were not accurate.	address the inaccuracy			1) A resolution concerning the opening and
		of Staff	The Status 2 KPIs fall under the leavers	issues identified.			closing balances on the KPI reports had been
		Productivity	data but this was not accurate.				found, through the removal of the deletion
			Transfers in backlog allocations was not	To introduce KPI			button and reporting on terminations. A
			flagged.	monitoring for			separate SWAP review is planned to oversee
			The weekly KPI reports were not	overpayments, new			that resolution. The Operations Manager will
			consistent with themselves. Closing and	joiners, amendments.			provide periodic updates concerning the
			opening positions differed.				reconciliation of cases. Separate Accounting
			KPIs not captured	To monitor the data			team reporting exists for TV-in allocations,
			No KPIs to monitor overpayment aged	quality for active			therefore there is no requirement for this to
			debt chasing.	members and ensure			be included in the KPI reports.
			No KPIs to monitor new joiners	tPR requirements are			KPIs not captured
			processing.	met.			2) The outstanding new joiner reporting is
			No KPIs to monitor amendments.				assigned to the Systems Manager. In addition
			Data Quality	To implement the staff			to this reporting, better controls around bulk
			A data quality report can be run but this is	productively monitoring			processing are under review to address sub-
			only done on an ad hoc basis and is not	report in the Employer			ordinate actions such as aggregations at an
			reported to management to monitor.	Services Team.			earlier stage and evidence that work through
			Staff Productivity				additional reporting. Overpayment aged debt
			Weekly report introduced for the				chasing falls into two parts. Part 1 – The
			Member Services Team, including				reporting is provided monthly by the
			determination of the average time it				accounting team and Part 2 – The procedure
			should take to complete a task. This is				to chase up overpayments/ write off is under
			not yet live for the Employer Services				review. Due to resourcing the monitoring of
			Team but will be.				amendments has been deferred.
							Data Quality reporting
							3) Initially monthly reporting of data quality will
							be completed. The Operations Manager to
							implement the process.
							Employer Services Productivity reporting
							4) Reporting to be split into standard cyclical
							work and non-standard work. Testing of
							performance levels is underway and will be
							circulated to team members.
11	2	Quality	The Member Services Manager and their	All samples to be	30 April 2023	50%	Member Service Team Quality Assurance checks
		Assurance	deputy have been completing quality	representative. To	Revised date		1) Consideration of the benefits of QA checks is
			assurance reviews, but weaknesses were	define how many cases	30		under review, with a view to replacing this
			identified:	should be reviewed	September		arrangement with a more comprehensive peer
			Sampling may not be representative and	each month and ensure	2023		review strategy.
			not proportionality to the split by Officers	the reviewer has not			Employer Service Team Quality Assurance checks
			and transaction types.	been involved.			

			The number of cases reviewed each month differed. To define how many cases should be reviewed each month. The quality assurance sign offs are not being independently assessed. No quality assurance reviews have been carried out by the Employer Services Team. The Fund is hoping to have this in place by the end of January 2023.	Adherence monitored through the Risk register and management reports. A quality assurance process is implemented for the Employer Services Team and adherence monitored on a regular basis. To ensure the issues identified in Findings E are included.			2) To date, QA arrangements remain outstanding. The interim Administration Lead will consider the appropriate arrangements with the Member Services Manager.
12	1	Pensions Payroll Reconciliation Project	Although outsourced it was noted that 35 outstanding cases still sit with the Fund to resolve: 11 cases are not in the correct payroll area, and they need to be altered by the Payroll Team. 4 cases have an issue on Altair which need to be resolved (not payment related); and 20 cases have payment discrepancies that need to be resolved. No deadlines had been set to resolve the above and resolution is not being monitored.	To ensure the issues noted with the 35 outstanding cases still sat with the Fund are resolved	31 March 2023	100% COMPLETED	 35 outstanding payroll cases 1) It is understood that only about 3 or 4 of the 35 cases remain outstanding. These appear to relate to non-pension Fund related pension payments (Employer ex-gratia payments) which Wiltshire Council have included on the Fund's payment. The payments need to be moved to another payment area.
13	2	Pension Fund's Risk and Controls Register	Each month it is the managers responsibility to document and evidence in the Risk Register if their controls for the month have been completed. Not all managers have been updating the register and output on risks is not accurate.	To continue to embed the Risk Register and formally address managers performance when controls are not updated.	31 March 2023	100% COMPLETED	 Risk Register updates 1) It was noted that embedding of the risk register had been completed, however it was agreed that this should be monitored for a couple of months before this item was closed.